

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/635,994	08/09/2000	Howard Dernehl	DERN-00101	5407
28960	7590 03/19/2003			
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			EXAMINER	
· · -	WOLFE ROAD .E, CA 94086		YOUNG,	JOHN L
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S

Application No.

09/635,994

Applicant(s)

Dernehl Et La.,

Office Action Summary

Examiner

John Young

Art Unit **3622**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing	date of this communication.			
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 9, 20			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
;	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Normation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4-6 6) Other:				

(Dernehl et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

FIRST ACTION REJECTION

2

DRAWINGS

This application has been filed with drawings that are considered informal; said drawings 1. are acceptable for examination purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3622

2. Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Ng 6,405,175 (6/11/2002) [US f/d: 7/27/1999] (herein referred to as "Ng").

3

As per independent claim 1, Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 1-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-35; i.e., whole document) shows the elements and limitations of claim 1.

Ng lacks an explicit recitation of "offering a potential reward to a first party in exchange for promotional assistance of the first party, the promotional assistance comprising assistance in a forwarding of a first e-mail message to a second party, the first e-mail message comprising a personalized referral for the marketable entity, and a first set of data comprising a first serial number and a first URL link. . . ." even though Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 1-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-35; i.e., whole document) suggests same.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention that the disclosure of Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 1-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-35; i.e., whole

(Dernehl et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

document) would have been selected in accordance with "offering a potential reward to a first party in exchange for promotional assistance of the first party, the promotional assistance comprising assistance in a forwarding of a first e-mail message to a second party, the first e-mail message comprising a personalized referral for the marketable entity, and a first set of data comprising a first serial number and a first URL link. . . ." elements and limitations of claim 1, because such selection would have provided means for "computer-assisted electronic commerce (e-commerce) . . . rewards programs. . . ." (see

As per claims 2-45, Ng shows the method of claim 1 and subsequent claims depending from claim 1. (See the rejection of claim 1 supra).

Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 1-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-35; i.e., whole document) shows elements that suggest the elements and limitations of claims 2-45.

Ng lacks an explicit recitation of the elements and limitations of claims 2-45, even though Ng suggests same.

"Official Notice" is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of claims 2-45 were well known and expected in the art by one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention because such concepts and the

Art Unit: 3622

advantages would have provided means for "computer-assisted electronic commerce (e-commerce) . . . rewards programs. . . . " (see Ng (col. 1, ll. 5-15)).

As per independent claim 46, Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, 1l. 1-67; col. 2, 1l. 1-67; col. 3, 1l. 1-67; col. 4, 1l. 1-67; col. 5, 1l. 1-67; col. 6, 1l. 1-67; col. 7, 1l. 1-67; col. 8, 1l. 1-67; col. 9, 1l. 1-67; col. 10, 1l. 1-67; col. 11, 1l. 1-67; col. 12, 1l. 1-67; col. 13, 1l. 1-67; col. 14, 1l. 1-67; col. 15, 1l. 1-67; and col. 16, 1l. 1-35; i.e., whole document) shows the elements and limitations of claim 1.

Ng lacks an explicit recitation of "offering a reward to a first party to assist in recommending a second marketable entity substantially similar to the first marketable entity to a second party wherein the recommendation results in a transaction. . . ." even though Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 1-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-35; i.e., whole document) suggests same.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention that the disclosure of Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 1-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-35; i.e., whole document) would have been selected in accordance with "offering a reward to a first

Serial Number: 09/635,994 (Dernehl et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

party to assist in recommending a second marketable entity substantially similar to the first marketable entity to a second party wherein the recommendation results in a transaction..." elements and limitations of claim 1, because such selection would have provided means for "computer-assisted electronic commerce (e-commerce)...

rewards programs..." (see Ng (col. 1, ll. 5-15)).

6

As per claims 47-49, Ng shows the method of claim 43 and subsequent claims depending from claim 43.

Ng (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 3 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 1-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-35; i.e., whole document) shows elements that suggest the elements and limitations of claims 47-49.

Ng lacks an explicit recitation of the elements and limitations of claims 47-49, even though Ng suggests same.

"Official Notice" is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of claims 47-49 were well known and expected in the art by one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention because such concepts and the advantages would have provided means for "computer-assisted electronic commerce (e-commerce) . . . rewards programs. . . . " (see Ng (col. 1, ll. 5-15)).

(Dernehl et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

PRIOR ART NOT RELIED UPON

3. The prior art reference made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:

6,289,318 U.S. Pat. [Sep. 11, 2001]

Barber

705/14

"METHOD AND ARCHITECTURE FOR MULTI-LEVEL COMMISSIONED

ADVERTISING ON A COMPUTER NETWORK." This reference

discusses referral rewards conducted over the Internet. (See the

ABSTRACT; and whole document) Ref. claims 1-49.

CONCLUSION

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703) 746-7239 or (703) 872-9314 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

7

(Dernehl et al.)

Art Unit: 3622

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

John L

Patent Examiner

(Temporary Full Signatory Authority)

Patent Examiner

March 10, 2003

8